**Results**

Use point estimates / point predictions – use predict on low x value and high x value to get the resulting y value. Pick x values that are of interest, or current, or relevant for some reason. Can come back to these values in the discussion.

Could plot results on log scale – back transforming doesn’t reflect the modelling you’ve done. As I’ve got plenty of space in the results section, I can plot on the log scale and on the response scale. This might be worth checking for the socioeconomic model. Do the predictions look better on the log scale?

Don’t forget to talk about the macroecon models with forest change as the response. Explain that there were no significant results and to see the SI.

Need to add a column to the results tables called “Rate ratios” which are the exp(coeff). These are the multiplicative terms used to calculate the change in y when x increases by 1 unit.

**Table 3. Parameter coefficients and standard errors from the top model(s) in the macroeconomic analysis. Missing values denote predictor variables that were not selected in the top model(s) for that lag period.**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | ***No time lag*** | |  | ***1 year time lag*** | |  | ***2 year time lag*** | |
| **Variable** | **Coefficient** | **SE** |  | **Coefficient** | **SE** |  | **Coefficient** | **SE** |
| ***Macroeconomic*** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GDP | - | - |  | -0.01500 | 0.00340 |  | -0.02600\* | 0.00390 |
| Agricultural proportion of GDP | 0.27000 | 0.07000 |  | 0.25000 | 0.06600 |  | -0.03400\* | 0.07600 |
| Development flows - agriculture | - | - |  | - | - |  | -0.00005\* | 0.00020 |
| Development flows - environment | 0.03100 | 0.00400 |  | - | - |  | -0.00260\* | 0.00450 |
| Foreign direct investment | - | - |  | 0.00360 | 0.00050 |  | 0.00040\* | 0.00060 |
| Population density | -4.43000 | 0.85000 |  | -6.09000 | 0.81000 |  | -7.68000\* | 0.95000 |
| Forest remaining | -0.00030 | 0.00004 |  | -0.00004 | 0.00004 |  | 0.00004\* | 0.00005 |
| ***Commodity / production*** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Change in median market price - corn | 0.02600 | 0.00620 |  | 0.00704\* | 0.00647 |  | -0.00365\* | 0.00329 |
| Change in median market price - rice | -0.00700 | 0.00280 |  | -0.00429\* | 0.00272 |  | 0.00004\* | 0.00058 |
| Change in median market price - rubber | -0.00090 | 0.00031 |  | 0.00019\* | 0.00022 |  | -0.00004\* | 0.00009 |
| Change in median market price - sugar | 0.01336 | 0.00150 |  | 0.00708\* | 0.00127 |  | 0.00877\* | 0.00124 |
| Non-food agricultural production index | 0.00659 | 0.00295 |  | 0.00672\* | 0.00264 |  | -0.00149\* | 0.00203 |
| Crop production index |  |  |  | 0.00042\* | 0.00144 |  | -0.00328\* | 0.00427 |
| Total production from forestry | - | - |  | 0.00000\* | 0.00000 |  | 0.00000\* | 0.00000 |
| Forest remaining | -0.00017 | 0.00004 |  | -0.00017\* | 0.00003 |  | -0.00013\* | 0.00003 |
| ***Producer prices*** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Producer price of corn | 0.00415 | 0.00355 |  | 0.01093\* | 0.00240 |  | 0.00014\* | 0.00081 |
| Producer price of rice | -0.02465 | 0.00436 |  | 0.00452\* | 0.00564 |  | 0.01258\* | 0.00474 |
| Producer price of rubber | 0.03424 | 0.00401 |  | -0.00075\* | 0.00228 |  | -0.00431\* | 0.00467 |
| Producer price of sugar | 0.00004 | 0.00010 |  | 0.00016\* | 0.00018 |  | 0.00000\* | 0.00006 |
| Producer price of cassava | 0.00032 | 0.00123 |  | 0.00006\* | 0.00076 |  | -0.01791\* | 0.00214 |
| Forest remaining | -0.00023 | 0.00002 |  | -0.00015\* | 0.00002 |  | -0.00013\* | 0.00002 |

\* Coefficients derived from full averaging of models within dAIC < 6.
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**Figure 1. Modelled relationships between economic predictors and the allocation of new economic land concessions in Cambodia between 1993 – 2015 using the top model (top and bottom row) and model averaged (middle row) parameters. Top row: no time lag between predictor and response; middle row: 1-year time lag between predictor and response; bottom row: 2-year time lag between predictor and response.**
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**Figure 2. Modelled relationships between commodity price predictors and the allocation of new economic land concessions in Cambodia between 1993 – 2015 using the top model (top two rows) and model averaged (bottom two rows) parameters. Top two rows: no time lag between predictor and response; third row: 1-year time lag between predictor and response; bottom row: 2-year time lag between predictor and response.**
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**Figure 3. Modelled relationships between producer price predictors and the allocation of new economic land concessions Cambodia between 1993 – 2015 using the top model (top two rows) and model averaged (bottom two rows) parameters. Top two rows: no time lag between predictor and response; third row: 1-year time lag between predictor and response; bottom row: 2-year time lag between predictor and response.**

**Table 4. Model outputs from the top models from the socioeconomic analysis. Outputs are for the commune-level analysis and the province-level analysis.**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Variable** | **Variance** | **Std.Dev** | **Coefficient** | | **SE** |
| ***Commune-level final model*** |  |  | |  |  |
| *Random effects* |  |  |  | |  |
| Commune (intercept) | 10.4500 | 3.2334 | - | | - |
| Year/Commune (slope) | 0.0046 | 0.0680 | - | | - |
| Province (intercept) | 6.7730 | 2.6025 | - | | - |
| Year/Province (slope) | 0.0005 | 0.0220 | - | | - |
| *Fixed effects* |  |  |  | |  |
| Intercept | - | - | -4.6240 | | 0.5620 |
| Population density | - | - | -7.5140 | | 1.1270 |
| Mean elevation | - | - | 1.0510 | | 0.1220 |
| Distance to In'tl border | - | - | 0.5805 | | 0.2036 |
| Distance to Provincial capital | - | - | 0.6929 | | 0.1114 |
| ELC presence | - | - | 0.0000 | | 0.0025 |
| PA presence | - | - | 0.0093 | | 0.0143 |
| ***Province-level final model*** |  |  |  | |  |
| *Random effects* |  |  |  | |  |
| Province (intercept) | 1.1762 | 1.0845 | - | | - |
| Year/Province (slope) | 0.0058 | 0.0765 | - | | - |
| *Fixed effects* |  |  | - | | - |
| Intercept | - | - | -2.9900 | | 0.4497 |
| Males in school (low) | - | - | 0.0051 | | 0.0019 |
| Distance to school (low) | - | - | -0.0174 | | 0.0022 |
| Mean elevation (low) | - | - | -0.0223 | | 0.0024 |
| Distance to border (low) | - | - | 0.0061 | | 0.0019 |
| Distance to Prov capital (low) | - | - | -0.0072 | | 0.0019 |
| Presence of economic concessions (1) | - | - | 1.9974 | | 0.4090 |
| Presence of PAs (1) | - | - | 2.8063 | | 0.4965 |
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**Figure 4. Predicted relationships (red lines) between socioeconomic variables and forest cover in Cambodia between 2007 – 2012 from the top commune-level model. Predictions are ‘global’ i.e., all random effects were set to their mean values, and thus predictions are not for any specific commune. Black dots are the raw data points of each predictor versus forest cover.**
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**Figure 5. Predicted relationships between population density and forest cover within Cambodian provinces between 2007 – 2012 using the top commune-level model. Faded grey lines are the predictions for each individual commune within each province. Black lines are the mean provincial predictions, which were computed using the 50% quantile from all commune predictions. Plot panels have non-standard y axis ranges.**
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**Figure 6. Predicted forest cover within each Cambodian province given high and low levels of school attendance (males aged 6 – 24 in school) from the top province-level model. All other variables in the model were set to their reference level (distance to school = low, elevation = low, distance to international border = low, distance to provincial capital = low, economic land concession = yes, protected area = yes).**
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**Figure 7. Predicted forest cover within each Cambodian province given high and low distances to the nearst school from the top province-level model. All other variables in the model were set to their reference level (school attendance = low, elevation = low, distance to international border = low, distance to provincial capital = low, economic land concession = yes, protected area = yes).**

Socioecon models – report marginal and conditional R2